

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/390,026	09/390,026 09/03/1999		CHARLES A. PEYSER	07710.0001-0	6211	
32042	7590	08/02/2006		EXAMINER		
PATTON BO			GARG, YO	GARG, YOGESH C		
SUITE 900	mar Dia	· L	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MCLEAN, V	A 22102		3625			

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
			026	PEYSER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Yogesh	C. Garg	3625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	Idress		
A SHI WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. tatutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) file. This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊠ This action is for allowance exce	non-final. ot for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 39 is/are pending in the appear of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 39 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers The specification is objected to by the the drawing(s) filed on is/are applicant may not request that any object of the oath or declaration is objected to the control of the oath or declaration is objected to the papear of the oath or declaration is objected to the papear of the oath or declaration is objected to the papear of the oath or declaration is objected to the papear of the papear	are withdrawn from one ction and/or election to the drawing(so the correction is required.	n requirement. b) objected to by the length of the leng	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	, ,		
		,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/390,026 Page 2

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/22/2006 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. The applicant's amendment received on 6/22/2006 is acknowledged and entered. The applicant has canceled claims 1-6, 8-19 and 21-38 and added a new claim 39. Currently claim 39 is pending for examination.

Response to Arguments

3.1. Applicant's arguments filed on 6/22/2006, see Remarks, concerning new claim 39 have been considered but are not persuasive. The prior arts of Walker combined with Golden render claim 39 obvious as detailed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3625

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. (US Patent 6,345,090), hereinafter, referred to as Walker in view of Golden et al. (US Patent 6,052,447), hereinafter, referred to Golden.

With regards to claim 39, Walker discloses a method for purchasing telecommunication services, comprising:

receiving a request for purchasing at least one telecommunication service at a server, the request being associated with a buyer, generating an offer to provide the at least said telecommunication service to the buyer in response to receiving the request, the offer being associated with a provider of said telecommunication services, receiving a response to the offer, wherein the response is associated with the buyer, and notifying the provider of the response and an identity of the buyer (see at least FIG.1a, "Calling party 110 "represents buyers' terminals, "CPO management system 100 [see also Fig.3] represents the sever which is requested for the required service by the buyer, and "Inter exchange carrier 1, 2....N" correspond to different providers of services, col.4, lines 39-52, receiving and processing CPOs for telephone calls fro one or more calling parties, such as a calling party 110...", and col.6, line 64-col.7, line 9,"......Figs. 8a and *b, to provide (i) each CPO to the inter exchange carriers

Art Unit: 3625

120......". Also see col.3, lines 8-67. Note: Walker further discloses that on receiving responses from the number of inter exchange carriers, that is the service providers, the buyer is notified about the offers and if the buyer accepts an offer the contract is completed, that is the seller is informed and services accepted from the seller for said buyer and payment made);

Walker does teach receiving information regarding prior use of telecommunication services of the buyer (see at least col.8, lines 11-28, and col.8, line 59-col.9, line 7, "FIG. 4 illustrates an exemplary customer database 400 that preferably stores information on each customer (calling party) of the CPO management system 100, including biographical information and an indication of the local telephone company serving each customer. customer database 400 includes the customer's address in field 445, the manner in which the customer is bound in field 450, an indication of the local telephone company serving the customer in field 455 and the customer's telephone number in field 460. The telephone number stored in field 460 may be utilized, for example, as a customer identifier to index a historical database (not shown) of previous transactions associated with the customer. "Note: Walker's suggestion of utilizing the stored telephone number information as a customer identifier to index a historical database corresponds to receiving information about prior use from the users/buyers).

Walker does not explicitly disclose using the prior use information in sending this information to sellers and receiving response from sellers based upon this prior use information related to telecommunication network. However, in the same field of endeavor, Golden discloses use of prior use information in formulating customer-specific plans, package information, rewards, and discounts (see Golden at least col.1, line 8-col.2, line 10). In view of Golden, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have modified Walker to incorporate the teachings of using prior use

Art Unit: 3625

information of the buyers in providing such information to sellers and receiving offers from sellers because, as explicitly disclosed in Golden, it would help the sellers in Walker [see Walker, col.2, lines 18-32, wherein it suggests that large customers, such as corporate customers are able to negotiate discounted rates]) to formulate customer-specific packages including rewards, and discounts all types of consumers, that is small consumers and large businesses (see Golden, col.2, lines 29-31).

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- (i) US PG Publication 20010023402 to Flynn provides the evidence of using information of prior use of products/services by consumers in selling products/service to buyers (see at least paragraph 0036).
- (ii) US PG Publication 20050261987 A1 to Bezos et al. provides the evidence of providing information on prior use of products/services to the new buyers.
 - (iii) US Patent 6,611,590 to Lu et al. discloses (see col.8, line 64-col.9, line 25) using historical data in a call enter to know about the caller's preferences and ordering information to analyze past activities of callers to predict future activities.
 - (iv) US Patent 5,748,485 to Christiansen et al. explicitly discloses the use of information of prior use of products, such as computers and software products, to present new products based upon the last purchases and this disclosure is a clear evidence of the fact that information of prior use by a customer is sued in selling future products or services.

Art Unit: 3625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh C. Garg whose telephone number is 571-272-6756. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on 571-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yogesh C Garg Primary Examiner Art Unit 3625

YCG 7/27/2006